## United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge		Amy	St. Eve	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	Edward A	A. Bobrick	
CASE NUMBER		02 C 4801		DATE	1/16/2004		
CASE TITLE		Interlease Aviation, et al vs. Vanguard AL Inc., et al					
[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]							
\ \					·	. —	
DOCKET ENTRY:							
(1)	(1) Filed motion of [ use listing in "Motion" box above.]						
(2)	☐ Brief	Brief in support of motion due					
(3)	☐ Answ	Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due					
(4)	☐ Rulin	Ruling/Hearing on set for at					
(5)	☐ Status	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
(6)	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at						
(7)	☐ Trial[	☐ Trial[set for/re-set for] on at					
(8)	☐ [Bend	[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at					
(9)		This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to]  □ FRCP4(m) □ Local Rule 41.1 □ FRCP41(a)(1) □ FRCP41(a)(2).					
(10) [Other docket entry] Enter Memorandum Order regarding Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel filed December 31, 2003. All matters relating to the referral of this action being resolved, the case is returned to the assigned judge.							
	E S. Bobuil						
(11) For further detail see order attached to the original minute order.]							
	No notices required, a	dvised in open court.	[			Document	
	No notices required.				number of notices	Number	
1	Notices mailed by jud				JAN 2 2 2004		
Notified counsel by telephone.  Docketing to mail notices.					data docketed		
Mail AO 450 form.			URT	00 TOMTSIO ( <b>2.U</b>	decketing debay initials	Inu	
1	Copy to judge/magist	opy to judge/magistrate judge.		OUS, DISTRICT CO	1/16/2004	1101	
		courtroom	1.5	et ID EZIMPMO	date mailed notice		
SRB		deputy's initials	Data	inte received in	288		
				ime received in	mailing deputy initials		

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

INTERLEASE AVIATION INVESTORS	)
(ALOHA) L.L.C., an Illinois Limited	)
Liability Company,	)
INTERLEASE AVIATION INVESTORS	)
(TACA) L.L.C., an Iowa Limited	)
Liability Company, and MIMI LEASING	)
CORP., an Iowa Limited	)
Liability Company,	)
Plaintiffs,	) )
VS.	) No. 02 C 4801 ) Judge Amy St. Eves
VANGUARD AIRLINES, INC., a	) Edward A. Bobrick,
<b>Delaware Corporation; PEGASUS</b>	) Magistrate Judge
AVIATION, INC., a Foreign	)
Corporation; VANGUARD ACQUISITION	j.
COMPANY; AIRLINE INVESTMENTS,	<b>)</b>
INC.; SEABURY GROUP, LLC, a New	) and the second
York Limited Liability Company; and	
RICHARD S. WILEY,	· 2554
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	) ) ) ) )
Defendants.	)

## MEMORANDUM ORDER

This matter came before the court on Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel filed December 31, 2003, the court orders as follows:

Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel (Plaintiffs' Motion) is granted in part and denied in part as stated below:

109

1. Plaintiffs' Motion is granted in part and denied in part with respect to Request Nos. 1 and 2 of Plaintiffs' Third Request for Production of Documents. Defendants will only be required to produce documents reflecting the sale or purchase of a Boeing 737 aircraft by defendant Pegasus Aviation, Inc. that occurred between January of 2001 and December of 2002. Such documents will be produced with an "Attorneys' Eyes Only" designation under the Protective Order entered on January 23, 2003.

Notwithstanding that designation, plaintiff's counsel will not reveal these documents to Monica Carroll without providing prior written notice to defendants' counsel. Such notice shall be provided to defendants' counsel at least seven business days before any such disclosure.

Should plaintiffs' expert or experts utilize the information contained in these documents, they will do so without revealing the source of the information. Any reference to the information in papers filed by plaintiffs or plaintiffs' experts will be accompanied by a footnote stating that the parties stipulate to the authenticity of the information.

The defendants' motion is denied in all other respects.

2. Plaintiffs' Motion is denied with respect to compelling defendants to produce a 30(b)(6) witness pursuant to plaintiffs' December 3, 2003 Notice of Deposition. Defendants will, by January 23, 2004, produce printouts of the directory structures of Vanguard related documents downloaded from their document file servers.

3. Plaintiffs' Motion is denied with respect to Request Nos. 20, 34, 38, and 40 of Plaintiffs' Second Request for Production of Documents the parties agreeing that the issues are moot.

ENTERED:

EDWARD A. BOBRICK U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

**DATE:** January 16, 2003